



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,921	11/15/2001	Murray L. Finebaum	1497210.00129US2	8945
28089	7590	04/27/2009	EXAMINER	
WILMERHALE/NEW YORK 399 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			DASS, HARISH T	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		3692		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com
teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com
sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/001,921	FINEBAUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HARISH T. DASS	3692	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2009.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 40-42, 55, 56, 58, 59, 61-69 and 71 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 40-42, 55-56, 58-59, 61-69, 71 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's submission of 01/07/2009.

2. **Priority:** 11/17/2000

3. **Status of Claims:**

Claims 1-39, 43-54, 57, 60, 70 are cancelled.

Claims 40-42, 55-56, 58-59, 61-69, 71 are pending.

4. **Examiner's Note:** During the interview, the Examiner was assured by the attorney that the claims will be drawn to one embodiment (**one invention**); however, the attorney has included different embodiments for different independent claims. All independent claims are drawn to different embodiment (see the specification **different scopes**), in case of allowance, the allowable subject matter will be restricted to only one of the embodiment (**restricted to one invention**), even if all of the claims are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 40-42 and 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly

claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Particularly;
claim 40 lines 11-15 limitation (enabling by the trading ... at least one of the
first and second traders to ... specifying at least one of a first and second
amount, wherein the second amount is disclosed to other traders.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 40-42, 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCausland et al. (hereinafter McCausland - US 5,243,331) in view of Lawrence (US 5,915,209) and Broka et al. (Broka - US 5,809,483).

Re. Claim 40, McCausland discloses order entry (bid or offer) and execution for single-order or multiple order and

receiving electronically by the trading platform from a first trading computer a first order request (an order or new bid/offer) from a first trader (a trader)

receiving electronically by the trading platform from a second trading computer a second order request (an order or new bid/offer) from a second

Art Unit: 3692

trader [col. 15 lines 39-65; col. 22 lines 40-63 - first order and second order are inherent in McCausland, otherwise the trade cannot take place].

displaying by the trading platform to **at least one** of the first and second traders at least one of a first and second lists of orders including a plurality of data fields corresponding to at least one of the first and second order requests [Figures 6B-8 (Fields: #, issue, Bid, Offer, Yield) ; col. 19 line 48 through col. 20 line 14];

receiving electronically from at least one of the first and second trading computers via the trading platform at least one of the first and second completed orders [McCausland - Figures 5-7; col. 15 lines 38-45; col. 17 line 25 through col. 18 line 53; col. 23 lines 59-60];

displaying by the trading platform the received orders for broadcast [McCausland - col. 2 line 56 through col. 3 line 16; col. 13 lines 8-21 - It is obvious that for anonymously trading the orders have to be authorized to be displayed to the other party];

matching the completed trading orders using a price/time priority in combination with at least one of predetermined order conditions **comprising at least one of:**

a "Fill or Kill" order condition by immediately filling the order in its entirety or by canceling the order when the order is not immediately filled;

an "All or None" order condition by filling the entire quantity of the order;

a "Minimum Fill" order condition by initially filling a first quantity and

wherein the remaining balance has no conditions unless specified;

 a "Lots Of" order condition by setting a condition of minimum execution in lots;

 a "Show Only" order condition by managing the display of quantity;

 a "Good Until a time of day" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of a time of day;

 a "Good For a period of time" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of hours and minutes **[implicit - see the fact is shown by virtue of the disclosure col. 2 lines 61-62 "all orders in the market are live until executed or canceled" or Examiner's interpretation "All or None", col. 9 lines 40-56 "a unique transaction code" ... "classes of message requests, also called transactions" ; col. 10 lines 1-12; col. 22 lines 40-45 (live until canceled = Examiner's interpretation fill ALL order or cancel NONE). It is obvious to one practitioner in the field of securities that the stocks or securities orders are preconditioned by price, size, time limit to complete or cancel, otherwise the order will stay on the books for ever; and**

 a "Quantity" order condition by including the remaining quantity **[McCausland - col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 22 line 40 through col. 23 line 30]; and**
 executing automatically by the trading platform trading of the received trading orders upon received selection from one of the plurality of traders of a particular trading order comprising a bid or offer **[col. 1 lines 30-35; col. 22 line 40 through col. 24 line 5].**

McCausland does not explicitly disclose order type ("Fill or Kill", "Minimum Fill" , "Lots Of", "Show Only", "Good Until a time of day", or "Good For a period of time") which are part of Markush group (MPEP 803.02 - alternatives), see above;

receiving electronically authorization from at least one of the first and second traders to broadcast at least part of the received orders to plurality of traders;

transmitting electronically by the trading platform the received orders authorized for broadcast to each of the plurality of traders without disclosing an identity of each trader associated with each order being broadcast; and

enabling by the trading platform at least one of the first and second traders to complete on one side of a prospective trade for one of the plurality of bond instruments at least one of a first and second orders by selecting an order from the at least one of the first and second lists of orders specifying at least one of a first and second amount, wherein the second amount is disclosed to other traders;

However, one skill in the art (practitioners such as brokers, market makers, dealer, etc) knows that in order to buy or sell securities (such as: stocks, bonds, etc,) there have to be at least two counter party to do the trade (a seller and a buyer or a party/counterparty) with and in order for the dealer to trade with counterparty, he/she should have received from broker/customer and buy-order (bid side) or a sell-order (ask or offer side) to trade and then the buy-order or sell-order is to be distributed or be displayed/shown to the other dealers/traders who

may be interested to trade and after a negotiation/acceptance the trade has to be executed and the trade is completed.

Further, the market orders such as "Fill or Kill", "Minimum Fill" , "Lots Of", "Show Only", "Good Until a time of day", "Good For a period of time", contingent orders, or stop limit orders are well-known in trading securities where the market order type are stated by the customer which discloses how does he/she wishes to buy or sell securities and what price.

Broka discloses enabling by the trading platform at least one of the first and second traders to complete on one side of a prospective trade for one of the plurality of bond instruments at least one of a first and second orders by selecting an order from the at least one of the first and second lists of orders specifying at least one of a first and second amount, wherein the second amount is disclosed to other traders [Abstract; Figures 12 (one side of trade order), 13-14, 20 (list of trades and trade sides), 18 (enter quote - price and size or amount), 26-28 (group, ticker), 30 (selection window), 50-51 (authorized issue) and associated descriptions; Col. 1 lines 47 through col. 2 line 10, col. 4 lines 45-65 (bond trading, bid, offer, trade completion, anonymity), col. 5 lines 53-57 (group of bonds), col. 11 lines 25 through col. 12 line 37], It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosures of McCausland and Broka and provide a electronic bond trading platform simple-to-use to post bonds quotes for dissemination to others and display the trades when they are executed.

Lawrence discloses authorizing broadcast of at least part of the submitted orders to plurality of traders; transmitting the submitted orders authorized for broadcast using the computer to each of the plurality of traders without disclosing an identity of each trader associated with each order being broadcast [Lawrence - Abstract; col. 3 line 40 through col. 4 line 10; col. 5 lines 30-32; col. 8 lines 3-8; col. 16 lines 22-30]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and Broka and include authorizing broadcast of at least part of the submitted orders to plurality of traders; transmitting the submitted orders authorized for broadcast using the computer to each of the plurality of traders without disclosing an identity of each trader associated with each order being broadcast, as disclosed by Lawrence, to enable the traders to distribute the list of bids/offer to authorized counterparties for their evaluation and accepting/rejecting the deal to consummate the trade.

Re. Claim 41, McCausland discloses wherein the plurality of different types of bond instruments include one or more of the following: high-yield bonds, corporate bonds, emerging market bonds, convertible bonds, derivative instruments comprised of bonds, and municipal bonds [col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 3 lines 7-20; col. 4 lines 16-22; see "government securities ... notes"; col. 22 lines 40 to col. 23 lines 10 "multi-order trader and automatic bid or offer"].

Re. Claim 42, McCausland discloses the order for the issue selected is displayed in a small window on the trader's page and change a price, the trader can tick the price up and down (updating), and reporting every executed trade to all users in a scrolling ticker continually updated in each user's graphical user interface (window), there being one scrolling ticker for each bond instrument type [see Figures 1-2 and associated descriptions].

Re. Claims 55-56, McCausland discloses creating an audit trail for each order that includes creating an immutable record of every order modification [col. 13 lines 59-67; col. 21 lines 45-50], and wherein creating an immutable record includes tracing each order that was changed, recording when each order was changed, and recording the value of the order before and after the change [col. 13 lines 59-67; col. 21 lines 45-50].

Claims 61-69, 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCausland et al. (hereinafter McCausland - US 5,243,331) in view of Lawrence (US 5,915,209) and Hughes et al. (hereinafter Hughes - US 7,231,363).

Re. Claim 61, McCausland discloses displaying anonymously to a plurality of buyer dealers sell orders comprising different types of bond instruments offers for sale for different types of bond instruments; enabling the plurality of buyer

dealers to anonymously submit buy orders and to buy at least one of the different types of bond instruments [col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 3 lines 7-20; col. 4 lines 16-22; see "government securities ... notes"; col. 22 lines 40 to col. 23 lines 10 "multi-order trader and automatic bid or offer"];

matching using a computer the buy orders and the sell orders for the one or more different types of bond instruments in a price and time priority basis in combination with at least one of predetermined order conditions comprising at least one of: a "Fill or Kill" order condition by immediately filling the order in its entirety or by canceling the order when the order is not immediately filled; an "All or None" order condition by filling the entire quantity of the order; a "Minimum Fill" order condition by initially filling a first quantity and wherein the remaining balance has no conditions unless specified; a "Lots Of" order condition by setting a condition of minimum execution in lots; a "Show Only" order condition by managing the display of quantity; a "Good Until a time of day" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of a time of day; a "Good For a period of time" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of hours and minutes [**see supra**]; and

a "Quantity" order condition by including the remaining quantity [McCausland - col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 22 line 40 through col. 23 line 30]; and displaying all received trading orders forwarded from a controller for broadcast; submitting a completed trading order [McCausland - col. 23 lines 59-60].

McCausland *does not explicitly disclose* transmitting all trading orders using the computer wherein the buy orders and the sell orders are matched and authorized

for broadcast to each of the plurality of buyer dealers and seller dealers that are matched without disclosing an identity of each of the buyer dealer and the seller dealer associated with each trading order being broadcast; enabling the plurality of buyer dealers to anonymously perform a query for different types of bond instruments; and reporting all the matched buy orders and sell orders.

However, one skill in the art (practitioners such as brokers, market makers, dealer, etc) knows that in order to buy or sell securities (such as: stocks, bonds, etc,) there have to be at least two counter party to do the trade (a seller and a buyer or a party/counterparty) with and in order for the dealer to trade with counterparty, he/she should have received from broker/customer and buy-order or a sell-order to trade and then the buy-order or sell-order is to be distributed or be displayed/shown to the other dealers/traders who may be interested to trade and after a negotiation/acceptance the trade has to be executed.

Further, the market orders such as "Fill or Kill", "Minimum Fill" , "Lots Of", "Show Only", "Good Until a time of day", "Good For a period of time", contingent orders, or stop limit orders are well-known in trading securities where the market order type are stated by the customer which discloses how does he/she wishes to buy or sell securities and what price.

Lawrence discloses transmitting all trading orders using the computer wherein the buy orders and the sell orders are matched and authorized for broadcast to each of the plurality of buyer dealers and seller dealers that are matched without disclosing an identity of each of the buyer dealer and the seller dealer associated with each trading order being broadcast [**Lawrence US**

Art Unit: 3692

5915209; Abstract; col. 3 line 40 through col. 4 line 10; col. 5 lines 30-32; col. 8 lines 3-8; col. 16 lines 22-30]]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and include authorizing broadcast of at least part of the submitted orders to plurality of traders; transmitting the submitted orders authorized for broadcast using the computer to each of the plurality of traders without disclosing an identity of each trader associated with each order being broadcast, as disclosed by Lawrence, to enable the traders to distribute the list of bids/offer to authorized counterparties for their evaluation and accepting/rejecting the deal to consummate the trade.

Hughes discloses enabling the plurality of buyer dealers to anonymously perform a query for different types of bond instruments and reporting all the matched buy orders and sell orders [Hughes - Figure 11 and associated description; col. 1 lines 30-34; col. 21 lines 6-32]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and Lawrence and include the above feature, as disclosed by Hughes, to generate list of different candidates based on their orders and CUSIP to be forwarded for matching server's matching routine for determining when the two orders match and should be forwarded for execution.

Re. Claims 62-69, McCausland a match include at least one of a "Quantity" greater than \$100,000 order condition; a "Fill or Kill" and an "All or None" order

condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and "Minimum Fill" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Show Only" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Show Only" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Minimum Fill" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Minimum Fill" and a "Show Only" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Show Only" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Good Until a time of day" order condition combination; a "Fill or Kill" and a "Good For a period of time" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Minimum Fill" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Minimum Fill" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Minimum Fill" and a "Show Only" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Show Only" order condition combination; an "All or None" and a "Show Only" and a "Lots Of" order condition combination; a "Minimum Fill" less than \$100M order condition; a "Minimum Fill" less than a "Lots Of" order condition combination; a "Minimum Fill" more than a "Quantity" order condition combination; a "Lots Of" not a multiply of a "Quantity" order condition combination; a "Lots Of" less than \$10M order condition; a "Lots Of" not equal to or a multiple of a "Minimum Fill" order condition combination; a "Show Only" less than \$100M order condition; a "Show Only" greater than a "Quantity" order condition combination; a "Show Only" less than a "Minimum Fill" order condition

combination; **or** a "Lots Of" not a multiple of a "Show Only" order condition combination.

Lawrence discloses displaying a bond variety list; wherein said bond variety list includes at least one of insured bonds, pre-refunded bonds, callable bonds, bank-qualified bonds, AMT bonds, escrowed bonds, taxable bonds (known) [col. 1 line 1 through col. 2 line 60]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and include the above features disclosed by Lawrence, to provide a comprehensive system for verity of bonds trading.

Hughes discloses enabling the plurality of buyer dealers to specify a bond instrument issuer, a CUSIP code, a US state, an issue type, and a purpose or set of purposes; displaying range specific data fields to the plurality of buyer dealers including a coupon range having a coupon minimum and maximum, a maturity range having an earliest and latest maturity date, a bond rating range having a rating minimum and maximum, a quantity range having a quantity minimum and maximum, a yield range having a yield minimum and maximum, and a price range having a price minimum and maximum; enabling the plurality of buyer dealers to specify a minimum and maximum coupon, an earliest and latest maturity date, a minimum and maximum bond rating, a minimum and maximum quantity, a minimum and maximum yield, and a minimum and maximum price; matching by the plurality of buyer dealers the different types of bond instruments being offered for sale; and submitting anonymously by at least one of the plurality of buyer dealers buy orders responsive to the displayed range specific data field;

preventing a match under said predetermined conditions; wherein said predetermined order conditions preventing a match include; wherein a locked or crossed market can be unlocked or uncrossed by at least one of the plurality of buyer dealers or by at least one of the plurality of seller dealers entering an order with a better price than the displayed order locking or crossing the market; wherein a locked or crossed market can be unlocked or uncrossed without causing a trade to occur. [Abstract; Figure 1; col. 9 lines 10-40; col. 16 lines 15-65; col. 17 line 37 through col. 20 line 60; col. 22 lines 6-22]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and Lawrence and include the above feature, as disclosed by Hughes, to provide a comprehensive and integrated system with active rules for processing and trading of financial instrument over the Internet for investor, and brokers in real time.

Re. Claim 71, McCausland discloses displaying anonymously to a plurality of clients buy orders comprising different types of bond instruments offers for purchase for different types of bond instruments by a plurality of buyer dealers [col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 3 lines 7-20; col. 4 lines 16-22; see "government securities ... notes"; col. 22 lines 40 to col. 23 lines 10 "multi-order trader and automatic bid or offer"]; enabling the plurality of clients to anonymously submit sell orders and to sell at least one of the different types of bond instruments [McCausland - Figures 5-7; col. 17 line 25 through col. 18 line 53; col. 23 lines 59-60]; matching using a computer the buy orders and the sell orders for the one

Art Unit: 3692

or more different types of bond instruments in a price and time priority basis in combination with at least one of predetermined order conditions comprising at least one of: a "Fill or Kill" order condition by immediately filling the order in its entirety or by canceling the order when the order is not immediately filled; an "All or None" order condition by filling the entire quantity of the order; a "Minimum Fill" order condition by initially filling a first quantity and wherein the remaining balance has no conditions unless specified; a "Lots Of" order condition by setting a condition of minimum execution in lots; a "Show Only" order condition by managing the display of quantity; a "Good Until a time of day" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of a time of day; a "Good For a period of time" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of hours and minutes [**see above**]; and a "Quantity" order condition by including the remaining quantity [col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 22 line 40 through col. 23 line 30];

displaying all received trading orders forwarded from a controller for broadcast

submitting a completed trading order; and reporting all the matched buy orders and sell orders [col. 23 lines 59-60].

However, one skill in the art (practitioners such as brokers, market makers, dealer, etc) knows that in order to buy or sell securities (such as: stocks, bonds, etc,) there have to be at least two counter party to do the trade (a seller and a buyer or a party/counterparty) with and in order for the dealer to trade with counterparty, he/she should have received from broker/customer and buy-order (bid side) or a sell-order (ask or offer side) to trade and then the buy-order or sell-

order is to be distributed or be displayed/shown to the other dealers/traders who may be interested to trade and after a negotiation/acceptance the trade has to be executed and the trade is completed.

Further, the market orders such as "Fill or Kill", "Minimum Fill" , "Lots Of", "Show Only", "Good Until a time of day", "Good For a period of time", contingent orders, or stop limit orders are well-known in trading securities where the market order type are stated by the customer which discloses how does he/she wishes to buy or sell securities and what price.

Lawrence discloses transmitting all trading orders using the computer wherein the buy orders and the sell orders are matched and authorized for broadcast to each of the plurality of clients and buyer dealers that are matched without disclosing an identity of each of the client and the buyer dealer associated with each trading order being broadcast [col. 3 line 40 through col. 4 line 10; col. 5 lines 30-32; col. 8 lines 3-8; col. 16 lines 22-30]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and include authorizing broadcast of at least part of the submitted orders to plurality of traders; transmitting the submitted orders authorized for broadcast using the computer to each of the plurality of traders without disclosing an identity of each trader associated with each order being broadcast, as disclosed by Lawrence, to enable the traders to distribute the list of bids/offer to authorized counterparties for their evaluation and accepting/rejecting the deal to consummate the trade.

Hughes discloses enabling the plurality of clients to anonymously perform a query for different types of bond instruments [Hughes - Figure 11 and associated description; col. 1 lines 30-34; col. 21 lines 6-32]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and Lawrence and include the above feature, as disclosed by Hughes, to generate list of different candidates based on their orders and CUSIP to be forwarded for matching server's matching routine for determining when the two orders match and should be forwarded for execution.

Claims 58-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCausland in view of Jain et al. (hereinafter Jain US 6,343,278).

Re. Claim 58, McCausland discloses enabling a seller to anonymously submit a sell order for a bond instrument over a computer network to potential traders of bond instruments comprising at least one buyer; and enabling a buyer to anonymously submit a buy order for the bond instrument over a computer network [see entire document- particularly col. 1 line 47 to col. 2 line 30; col. 1 lines 35-65 also see "primary dealers ... each other anonymously; col. 2 lines 5-20 "match a previous offer ..."], and matching buy orders to sell orders using a price/time priority [col. 2 lines 50-65]; matching using a computer the buy orders and the sell orders using at least one of a price priority and time priority in combination with at least one of predetermined order conditions comprising at

least one of: a "Fill or Kill" order condition by immediately filling the order in its entirety or by canceling the order when the order is not immediately filled; an "All or None" order condition by filling the entire quantity of the order; a "Minimum Fill" order condition by initially filling a first quantity and wherein the remaining balance has no conditions unless specified; a "Lots Of" order condition by setting a condition of minimum execution in lots; a "Show Only" order condition by managing the display of quantity; a "Good Until a time of day" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of a time of day; a "Good For a period of time" order condition by setting the time of order expiration in terms of hours and minutes [**see above**]; and a "Quantity" order condition by including the remaining quantity [McCausland - col. 2 lines 50-65; col. 22 line 40 through col. 23 line 30]; displaying all received trading orders forwarded from a controller for broadcast; submitting a completed trading order to a central controller under control of a seller or a buyer[McCausland - col. 23 lines 59-60 - send; trader is a user/buyer/seller too]; executing automatically a trading order upon selection by a seller or a buyer of a particular bid or offer being displayed [col. 1 lines 30-35; col. 22 line 40 through col. 24 line 5]; and

creating an audit trail for each order that includes creating an immutable record of every order modification [col. 13 lines 59-67; col. 21 lines 45-50].

McCausland *does not explicitly disclose* wherein the seller can control the amount of the order that is disclosed to the potential traders.

However, one skill in the art (practitioners such as brokers, market makers, dealer, etc) knows that in order to buy or sell securities (such as: stocks,

bonds, etc,) there have to be at least two counter party to do the trade (a seller and a buyer or a party/counterparty) with and in order for the dealer to trade with counterparty, he/she should have received from broker/customer and buy-order or a sell-order to trade and then the buy-order or sell-order is to be distributed or be displayed/shown to the other dealers/traders who may be interested to trade and after a negotiation/acceptance the trade has to be executed.

Further, the market orders such as "Fill or Kill", "Minimum Fill", "Lots Of", "Show Only", "Good Until a time of day", "Good For a period of time", contingent orders, or stop limit orders are well-known in trading securities where the market order type are stated by the customer which discloses how does he/she wishes to buy or sell securities and what price.

Jain discloses wherein the seller can control the amount of the order that is disclosed to the potential traders [Jain - Figure 1; col. 1 lines 12-38; col. 8 line 54 through col. 9 line 19; col. 11 lines 34-57 – see “amount actually available in the market may be only a fraction of what is shown on his display”]. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to combine the disclosure of McCausland and include enabling a user to submit an order and control an amount of the order that is disclosed to other traders, as disclosed by Jain to control the price of security and avoid having a negative impact on the market price of the security.

Re. Claim 59, McCausland discloses wherein said controller forwards all trading orders authorized for broadcast to without disclosing an identity of each seller or

buyer associated with each trading order being broadcast [McCausland - col. 23

lines 59-60 - without disclosing an identity, inherent in anonymous system].

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that prior arts (page 14 of remarks – McCausland, the following default ...) , the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

In response to applicant's arguments (page 14 – “matching ...”) against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case these limitations are disclosed by primary reference and the secondary references are improvements over the primary reference. It would be obvious to one skill in the art that the combination of known elements which will function same and does not change the property of the primary element will provide a predictable result.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant

relies (i.e., Keypad) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 CFR 1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this action.

US 5,297,031 (Guterman et al.) discloses providing a broker workstation for managing orders in a market for trading commodities, securities, securities options, futures contracts, etc. and list of order, order types, receiving order, displaying orders, controlling, screen configuration, etc.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HARISH T. DASS whose telephone number is (571)272-6793. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM to 4:50 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Abdi Kambiz can be reached on 571-272-6702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harish T Dass/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3692

4/22/2009